

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

1904.

File No. 12-1904.

Serial 1-9.

Filed September 1906

SUBJECT.

Vinayaka Devam Temple at Nagar

(Removal)

Later refce.

691-09.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

Proposed Removal of the Sri Vinayaka Devaram temple at Fort, Nagar, to a new temple to be built by its Archak in the town, near the Kotte Anjaneyaswami temple

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District

Letter No. 971,
71,

Dated 2nd June 1905

The Sri Vinayaka Devaram temple at Nagar is a Muzrai Institution endowed with a cash grant of Rs 1-7-0 per annum. ^{Rs 3-12-0 as stated by the Dy Commr}

The Deputy Commissioner reports, that one Subba Bhatta, Archak of that temple has applied for permission to remove the idol thereof from its present place in the midst of a jungle in the ruined fort at Nagar and consecrate it in a new temple to be built by him near the Kotte Anjaneyaswami temple in the same town, and arrange for the proper performance of puja. The Deputy Commissioner adds that the Amildar personally inspected

✓

the place and that he (the Amildar) and the Assistant
Commissioner are of opinion that if the Archak's
proposal is carried out, it will tend to the better
management of the temple and to the more regular
performance of puja, and ^{in his turn} recommends the proposal and the
continuance of the cash grant as heretofore
for the favorable consideration of Govt.

Submitted with a draft.

ST
8/6/05

14/6/05
note contd

The Dy Commr was requested
to report if the devotees of the temple
~~have~~ ^{had} any objection to the proposed removal;
and that officer, in reply, states that
They do not object to the removal

Docket no. 4806
of 24th June 1905.

from its present,
^{to be}
to the temple built by Archak
Bhalla

Submitted with a draft -

sanctioning the Dy Commr's recommendation

ST
28/6/05

Sanctioned by the Dy Commr
on 28/6/05

Sanctioned by the Dy Commr
on 28/6/05

363
29-6-05

Muzrai Secretariat.

File No.

of 190

I may not want
until the applicant
builds the temple
and makes necessary
arrangements for
Puja before granting
permission to remove
the idol? A permission
of permission may
however be sent
when,

30/6/05

30/6/05

I find that there is a mistake
in the draft in which it is said that the
new temple has been already built.
I regret the mistake which will be
corrected when final orders are recd.

7457
1.7.05

Subd to the Govt.

Thantabank
30/6/05

The draft having approval of the
Govt.

draft,

4

Page ().

Muzrai Secretariat.

File No.

of 190

*Draft, the necessary corrections have
been made therein. Issue*
9/7/55 — 

1905.

81



From M. S. Narayana Rao Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To S. Anantawami Rao Esq
Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore Bangalore Dist.

Dated 2^d

Despatched June 1905.

Received

General No. 971

No. 71.

Enclosure No.

Requests sanction for the
removal of Sri Vinayaka
Devaru at Nagar from its
present place in the fort
to a place near Kabbē
Anjaneya temple where
a new temple is to be built.

Rs
5/6/05

4

Page ().

Muzrai Secretariat.


File No.

of 190

*Draft, the necessary corrections have
been made thereon. Issue yf
9/7 '05*

1905.

21



From M. S. Narayana Rao Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To L. Anantawami Rao Esq
Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore Bangalore Dept

Dated 22

Despatched June 1905.

Received

General No. 971

No. 71.

Enclosure No.

Requests sanction for the
removal of Sri Vinayaka
Devaru at Nagar from its
present place in the fort
to a place near Katta
Anjaneya temple where
a new temple is to be built.

rs
5/6/05

No. 971
71.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Shimoga District
2 June 1905.

From M. S. Narayana Rao Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To K. Anantawami Rao Esq.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Muzroy Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that one Subbabhattacha, Pujari, attached to Sri Vinayaka Devaram at Nagar has applied for permission to remove the said Sri Vinayaka Devaram from its present place in the midst of a jungle in the ruined fort at Nagar, consecrate it in a new temple to be built by him near Kathe Anjaneya's temple in the same town and arrange for the proper performance of its puja. The Amildar of the Nagar Taluk who has personally inspected the place and the Assistant Commissioner's Dargah Division say that the proposal if carried out would

lead to the better management of the temple and for the more regular performance of the puja which is now neglected, although the temple is endowed with Cish Inam of Rs 3-12-4.

2. Under these circumstances I solicit that sanction of the Government may be obtained to the deity being removed to the above place to be consecrated and worshipped in the new temple to be built by the applicant Subbabhattera and the said Inam being continued as heretofore.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt Servt

usmaagunlu
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dist

Am

MUZRAI

1904-1905

212

FROM

TO

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

Dated 17th

Despatched

June 1905.

Received

General No. 1683
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure

Adverting to his letter
no. 971₇₁, dated 2nd June 1905, recom-
mending the grant of permission
to the removal of the Sri Vinayaka
Devaru ^{idol} ~~temple~~ in the Fort, Nagar
from its present place to a temp
to be newly built by ~~the~~

Subba Bhatta near the Kattu An-
neyaswami temple in the same
town, has the honor to request
be informed if the devotees of
the ~~Vinayaka Devaru~~ temple
have any objection to the ^{prop} ~~said~~
~~permission being granted~~.

removed

By Order

Is

14/6/05

MGCPB-2,000-9-6-02

1576
Secy, Muz

80

1904-5

From M. S. Narayana Rao
Dy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To L. Ananta Saini Rao
Secy to Mysore Govt
Muzrai Department

Dated 22^d Bangalore
Despatched } June 1905
Received.

General No. 1037
No. 75

Enclosure No.

With reference to his No. 4683
of the 17th June 1905
States that the devotees
have no objection to
the removal of Sri
Vinayaka Devaroo
idol in the Fort, Nagpur,
from its present place
to a temple which is
to be built up by
Achalak Subbabbhatta.



devotees have no objection to this arrangement.

неискозженка

Dr. Commis sioner
Kuniga Dist

Muzma 84

Reas: —

Correspondence ending
with docket no. $\frac{1037}{75}$, dated
22^d June 1905, from the —
Dy. Comm^r, Shimoga Dist^t
~~reporting on~~ ^{recommending} the removal
of the ~~Sai~~ Vinayaka Deva
idol in the Fort ^{at} Nagar,
to a temple built by
one Archak Subba Bhatta
at the ^{same} place.

No. 187-8

3.7.05.

Order thereon. no.

^{The}
~~Sai~~ Vinayaka Deva
temple in the Fort of
Nagar is a Muzma instn^t
endowed with a monthly
cash grant of Rs 3-12-0.
The temple is situated
in the midst of the jungle
in the ruined fort at Nagar
and the Puja therein is
reported to have been at
present neglected.

157

One Subha Bhatta, Archak
of the temple ^{promised to build} built a
new temple near that
of ~~the~~ Kalle Anjaneya
Swamy at the place and prays ~~the~~
prayed for permission to
the removal of ~~the deity~~ Vinayaka Devan idol
thereof

The Dy Commr, in the
letter cited above, reports
that the Devotees interested
in the instn have no object-
ion to the proposed removal
of the idol to the new temple; when built,
that Subha Bhatta refers
to has undertaken to arrange
for the proper performance
of Grihe etc; and that the
proposal, if carried out,
will tend to the better mangt
of the temple and to the more
regular performance of
services therein and accordingly
solicits sanction thereto and
to the ^{continuance of the} Cash Hasdik as
heretofore.

Under the circum-
stances, the Govt are
pleased to sanction the
removal of the deity to
the new temple built by
Archak Subba Bhattacharya,

orders as to the continuance
of the cash grant to the
new temple will be passed
after it is actually built
by Subba Bhattacharya and the
idol consecrated herein -

~~the cash hasdik attached
to the ^{new} temple ~~will be continued~~
to it as heretofore. ~~Further report as to the
action taken in the matter of
of the removal of the deity
and of the revival of ^{the} ~~the~~ _{deity}
in the new temple should be
submitted for information.~~~~

7549
M.C.D.
7.11.53

I have no objection to the above.

in order to make the
removal of the deity to the new temple
in accordance with the
order of the Govt. of Madras
1.11.53

D.S.

Secretary

25.11.53

To,

The Dy Commr, Shimoga

Dis. II. ~~returning the same~~

The copy of the same
is enclosed -

CK

1905



RS

29/7/05

From

The Superintendent of Main
Settlements in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated 28th Murrai Department

Despatched 29th

Received

General No.

No. 19

Enclosure No.

With reference to Govern-
ment Proceedings No. 187-8
dated 13th July 1905, has the
honor to state that no cash
grant of Rs 3-12-0 a month
has been registered in this
Office to the Vinayak Devam
temple in the fort at Nagar.
Requests therefore to be informed
if the cash grant has been
resumed, and if so, when.
If it exists, when, and
by whom it is checked to D.

[Signature]

Superintendent of
Main Settlements
in Mysore

MUZRAI.

816

19 —19

FROM

The Secretary,
Muzrai Dep^{ty}

TO

The Dy Commr
Shimoga Dist^y

Dated 8

Despatched

August-1905

Received

General No.

Muzrai F. No.

760

Enclosure One

Adverting to Govt^y

Pro no 184-8 of 13th July 1905

passing orders in the matt
of the removal of the


Ka Devam idol in the Fort
Nagar, to a temple to be
built by one Anchara

Phatta, at the said place,
the honor to forward herewith
Copy of docket no 19 of 29
July 1905, from the Supd
of Mam Settlements in

Stating that no cash gran
of ~~Rs 3-12-0 a month~~ has been
registered ^{in his office} to the Vinayaka
Devam temple in the Fort at
Nagar.

2) Reference is invited to the
Dy. Commr's. letter no. $\frac{971}{41}$ of
1st June 1905, reporting that
the temple is endowed with
cash gran of Rs 3-12-0,
and he is requested to report
as to whether the temple in
question is ^{now} in the enjoyment
of any cash gran and if so,
what the amount is -

By order.
//
L. S. S. S.
Secretary.
Draft
/s/
5/8/05



1905 06 8/7

From
M. S. Narayana Rao Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Chinnaga District
To
L. Chantasaamy Rao Esq.
Secretary to the Government
Public Works Department
Dated
Despatched } Bangalore
6th February, 1906.

Received

General No. 746
No. 52

Enclosure No 13-2

With reference to
his order No 760 of
8th August 1905 in
the matter of cash
grant of Rs 312- to
the Narayana Devan
Temple Suburb here.
with Sagar Chantant
Commissioner's yardi
for information and
kind return

CK
Nepes please
by 8/2/06

1905-06.

From

M. P. Narayana Rao Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Chinroga District

To,

S. Arantasaing Rao Esq
Secretary to the Government
Muzrai Department
Bangalore.

Dated 6th February 1906

No. 746/52 Eu 1 file

With reference to has docket No 460 of 8th
August-1905 in the matter of
cash grant of Rupees 3-12-0 to
the Vinayaka Devanur temple
in the Forest at Nagar has
the honour to state that the
temple in question is identi-
-cal with the temple of Uma-
-yaka Devanur at Nagar men-
-tioned in Snam Title deed
No 32660 of 1st July 1874

2. This institution en-
joys a cash grant of 3-12-0
as well be seen from the
Snam Patta which together

with Sagar Assistant Com-
missioner's yadi No 639 dated
16th December 1908 is ¹⁸³⁵ herewith
submitted for information and
kind return.

महाराजगढ़

Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

J.S.

48

190

From

To The Superintendent
of Inam settlements
in Mysore

Dated 14th March 1906

Despatched

Received

General No. 3890
No.

Enclosure No.

With reference to his
Docket no 19 d/28th
July 1905 has the honor
to state that the Vina-
yaka Devaru temple
at Nagar referred to in
his docket enjoys a
mahad cash grant
of Rs 3-12-0 per annum
under Inam I.D no
32660 d/1st July 1874

By order

Dft 13/3/06
Secretary

Rs
9/3/06

849

Muz. 1 A]

190

From

To The Dy. Commr.
Shimoga Dt

Dated 13th Sept 1906

Despatched

Received

General No. 1693
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

His Docket no 257 d/ 41
29 August 1906, re me

Vinayaka Devanur
Kinsale at Nagur

Has the honor to forward herewith the Vernacular papers received with his ~~Letter no~~ Docket no 746 d/ 52 6 February 1906 as they are no longer required. By order

Yd 13/9/06
Secretary

10/9/06